

[Handwritten signature]



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/374,077 08/12/99 O'CONNOR

R 43638-00030

┌

PM82/0229

EXAMINER

JEFFREY A. DIVNEY ESQ
HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP
1700 LINCOLN STREET SUITE 4100
DENVER CO 80203

WHITE, R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 02/29/00

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/374,077

Applicant(s)
O'Connor et al

Examiner
Rodney B. White

Group Art Unit
3624



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rodney B. White

(3) _____

(2) Jeffrey A. Dlvney

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 28, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3, 13, 16, 21, and 28

Identification of prior art discussed:

Nowak (US #5,669,665)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed possible language and/or certain combinations of claims to produce claims patentably distinct over the prior art of record. Also discussed how the above reference would probably be suitable to reject one specific combination of claims if filed as an amendment. No agreement was reached at this time but a follow up search taking the proposed amendments in consideration will be conducted and the Applicant or his Representative will submit a formal response/amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Rodney B. White
2/28/2000

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.